

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,862	11/17/2005	John R Ramun	2005-051265	7887
28289 THE WERR I	7590 07/13/2007 AW FIRM, P.C.		EXAMINER	
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING		FRANCIS, FAYE	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/13/2007	. PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ·		ζ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/533,862	RAMUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Faye Francis	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of a fiter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are allowed.		'				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 8-33-</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3-7, 35 and 36</u> is/are objected						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 May 2005</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received	·				
2. Certified copies of the priority do		oplication No.				
	the priority documents have been					
application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not i	received.				
•	•					
Attachment(s)		(570.440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- PTO-892) 		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/06.		formal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/533,862

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-7, 28 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 2: the claim language is confusing since it is not clear whether "a plurality of tool attachments" in line 2 is the same plurality of tool attachments as in line 6 of claim 1 from which claim 2 depends or is an additional one.

Additionally, regarding "may be" in line 2, it makes the claim language confusing since it is not clear if applicant is claiming the feature that follows this phrase or not.

With respect to claim 6: lines 4-5 are confusing since all that the applicant considers to be encompassed cannot be determined

With respect to claim 35: the scope of the claim is unclear because of the improper use of Markush therein.

Several expressions recited in the claims lack sufficient antecedent bases. For example only, the phrase "the tool attachment" in line 2 of claim 4 lacks proper antecedent basis. In another example only, the phrase "the tool attachment" of claim 5 also lacks proper antecedent basis. In yet another example only, the phrase "the plurality of fasteners" in line 2 of claim 28 lacks proper antecedent basis. In a fourth example only, note the expression "the tool attachment" in line 8 and "the tool

Art Unit: 3725

attachment "in last line of claim 36. These expressions make it difficult to determine what is or not in the claims. Accordingly the applicant is requested to review all of the claims for the purpose of making corrections wherever appropriate but not specifically pointed to, in order to provide appropriate antecedents and to ensure consistency in the numbers of specific elements recited in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 8-23, 26 and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by LaBounty et al [6,061,911], hereinafter LaBounty.

LaBounty discloses in Figs 1-10, a multiple tool attachment system for demolition equipment comprising; an adapter 18 for coupling the system to existing demolition equipment; a body 26 coupled to the adapter; a pair of jaws 12 and 14 coupled to the body, at least one of the jaws being pivotable, and each jaw having a tool carrier 22 and 48 extending to the end of each jaw; and a plurality o£ tool attachments 34 and 112 selectively attachable to each tool carrier for forming distinct tool units. Additionally, insofar as the applicant has claimed the system can be considered to include a wood shear attachment or iron/rail cracker or a grapple attachment.

5. Claims 1-2, 8-16, 18-23, 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Re.35, 432.

Art Unit: 3725

Re.35, 432 discloses in Figs 1-12, a multiple tool attachment system for demolition equipment comprising; an adapter 17 for coupling the system to existing demolition equipment; a body 14 coupled to the adapter; a pair of jaws 10 coupled to the body, at least one of the jaws being pivotable, and each jaw having a tool carrier 23 extending to the end of each jaw; and a plurality o£ tool attachments and selectively attachable to each tool carrier for forming distinct tool units. Additionally, insofar as the applicant has claimed the system can be considered to include a wood shear attachment or iron/rail cracker or a grapple attachment [Figs 2-9, col7 lines 1-5 and 18-45].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 103 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaBounty.

Any remaining limitations not disclosed in the reference would then have been obvious design choice depending on the intended debris or work product, as they solve no stated problem and of no patentable merit [For example see specification page 6 last paragraph].

8. Claims 17, 24-25 and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Re.35,432.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,862 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

Any remaining limitations not disclosed in the reference would then have been obvious design choice depending on the intended debris or work product, as they solve no stated problem and of no patentable merit [For example see specification page 6 last paragraph].

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 34 allowed.

10. Claims 3-7, 27 and 35-36 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 571-272-4423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,862

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> /Faye Francis/ **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3725

Page 6

FF